ASSESSING THE ROLE OF EXTERNAL INFLUENCE IN SHAPING ETHNIC CONFLICT IN BALOCHISTAN: STRATEGIC CHALLENGES AND POLICY OPTIONS FOR PAKISTAN

Ijaz Qamar Kyani[1*]
Rashid Ahmad[**]

Abstract

This paper examines external actors and their role in ethnic violence activities in Balochistan and its strategic implications for Pakistan's national security. And at the heart of this paper lies three questions: What regional actors inform the Balochistan conflict? How does political marginalization of Baloch population amplify ethnic violence in Balochistan? How can Pakistan play to neutralize these aliens? Using Saideman's Theory of Ethnic Politics and Foreign Policy, the study illustrates the extent to which external interventions related to ethnic ties and geopolitical imperatives fuel the war. According to Saideman's theory, ethnic collision is predominantly stemming from external actors who fuel the ethno political situations, that comes primarily through some types of ethnic and religious or cultural ties and relations of an external actor with the separatist organizations. Using qualitative content analysis, this study seeks to gain insight on how external influences obstruct conflict resolution attempts. The results suggest that foreign meddling, especially from neighboring states, deepens ethnic fault lines in Balochistan and constrains policy space for Pakistan. In the end of the study, some suggestions have been provided on how security measures can have a balance with socioeconomic measures to better deal with outside actors and promote global stability.

Keywords: External actors, ethnic violence, Balochistan conflict, political marginalization, Saideman's Theory, Pakistan national security

Introduction

Balochistan, Pakistan's largest territory, rich in natural resources — is an important but historically sidelined part of the country. Though occupying 44% of Pakistan's land area, Balochistan, which borders Iran, Afghanistan and the Arabian Sea, is the least populous province. Due to its strategic position and ethnic diversity, the country has always been a focal point for both internal and outside concerns (David Carment, 2006). The native ethnic group of the area, the Baloch people have a history of sociopolitical autonomy, tribal governance, and cultural pride; however, they have faced substantial political, economic, and social marginalization, and this led to repeated ethnic conflicts. The state's

This work is Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License.

[*] Ijaz Qamar Kyani, Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Peace and Conflict Studies, National Defence University, Islamabad.
[**] Rashid Ahmad, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Department of Peace and Conflict Studies, National Defence University, Islamabad.

confusing attitude — a mélange of colonial-era policies and post-independence measures — has alienated the Baloch people (Kupecz, 2024).

Political development in Balochistan is deeply influenced by a long-term influence of external and national factors which have penetrated not only its identity but also mounted ethnic rivalry. The British colonial authority largely demarcated the borders of Balochistan without the Baloch's consent, through treaties like those of 1854 and 1876 with the Khan of Kalat, splitting the province into two distinct territories marked as British Balochistan and as free tribal zones. This separation laid the foundation for difficult relations with the Pakistani state following independence, which intensified in 1948 with the unification of the Khanate of Kalat with Pakistan (Baloch, 1987). Balochistan's internal dynamics have also been affected by regional actors like Afghanistan, India, and Iran supporting nationalist and separatist groups in the province politically and financially. Moreover, these countries hijacked the Baloch ethnic identity for their own geopolitical interests, such as in case of India, which allegedly supports nationalist groups within Pakistan to destabilize it (Khan, 2017). Superpower intervention, notably in the wake of 9/11 and the War on Terror, has further skewed this relationship, adding to the strife in Afghanistan and spilling over into Balochistan. As a result, the province finds itself in a precarious position, caught up in the complex latticework of regional and global power rivalries, with external actors using ethnicist emotions to destabilise Pakistan.

Against this backdrop, this study explores why and how particular states assist in the perpetuation of the ethnic violence of Balochistan. The main subject is to explore the external factors that nurture ethnic frictions; to identify the motives of foreign involvement, and also to evaluate the current and potential policies of Pakistan to check those influences. The research seeks to answer three main questions:

1. What external factors contribute to the ongoing ethnic violence in Balochistan?

2. Why does the political marginalization of the Baloch population exacerbate ethnic violence in Balochistan?

3. How can Pakistan devise ways to lessen the effects of these causes on ethnic strife in Balochistan?

Most interstate conflicts stem from internal ruptures precipitated by political, economic, and social unrest. These crises, often triggered by a mix of local grievances and foreign meddling, are also driven by ethnic tensions. Traditionally, much of the ethnic strife in Balochistan took place within Pakistan's borders. Yet, recent developments show a growing global dimension, with regional powers perceiving Balochistan as a way to exert influence in the context of Pakistan (Shafique, 2015). This extra-territorial involvement often takes the form of tacit support for Baloch nationalist actors, cynically deploying these ethnic identities for political expediency, and policies which isolate the province further from a national framework within Pakistan. For instance, both Afghanistan and Iran have played a key role in shaping Balochistan's ethnic identity consciousness with Afghanistan even sponsoring Baloch nationalist parties, especially in the aftermath of 9/11. The situation worsened after the United States' departure from Afghanistan, though,

leaving the province susceptible to exploitation by foreign entities looking to impose footholds within Pakistan.

Balochistan's frontiers have always been ambiguous, due to the nineteenth-century colonial demarcations. The province's name, meaning "the land of the Baloch," alludes to a centuries-old confederation of tribes and clans, mainly the Baloch and Brahui, whose linguistic diversity contributes to its socioeconomic complexity. The Baloch abhor external authority, a fact best illustrated by the structure of their tribal institutions, which require loyalty to local leadership over a ruling authority. Meanwhile, the rise of Baloch nationalist movements has amplified these ethnic links, as external actors exploit ethnic identity to stoke separatist feelings. The Baloch people's feeling of disenfranchisement, exacerbated by structural disadvantages in political representation, economic opportunity, and resource access, is another factor that increases ethnic resentment.

The current political situation indicates a multilayered conflict formed by the acts of neighboring countries, especially by Afghanistan and Iran, each with their own interests in regard to the region. Nawaz said Afghan hostility to Pakistan has made Balochistan a battlefield of proxy wars in addition to providing backing to Baloch nationalist groups. India's involvement is also evident, demonstrated by Pakistan's claims of financial and logistical support for separatist activities in Balochistan. This kind of support is counterproductive to Pakistan's efforts to contain the insurgency and maintain peace in the region. From Iranian perspective, interests in Balochistan are driven mostly by fear of sectarian tensions in relation to Iranian Balochistan and potential economic competition from such projects as China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), which threatens Iranian ambitions of access to regional trade routes (Ramachandran, 2006).

The competition between foreign powers and rival governments in Balochistan, each with a distinct agenda, makes the resolution of Balochistan more nuanced and only achievable via both local reforms and international diplomacy. Tackling the factors behind ethnic tensions means not only reducing the impact of hostile neighbors, but also creating socioeconomic opportunities and fair political representation in Balochistan (Azam, 2020). These steps can be employed by Pakistan to help stabilize the region, diminish the attractiveness of foreign financing for separatist groups, and promote national cohesion as a bulwark against ethnic strife. Consequently, the aim of this research is to shed light on the important role of external factors in determining ethnic strife and to gain insights for policies that aim at provincial stability and national security.

Literature Review

Most of the Baloch are settled in Balochistan, southern Iran and Afghanistan. Some clans and descendant are also in Central Asia and Caucasus. The better understanding of the Baloch people from biography is through ethnic symbols rather than purely primordial and modernist (Khan, 2017). But it is hard to pin down exactly when they originated because various researchers offer conflicting perspectives. For instance, Pottinger links the Baloch with Iranian races, Rawlinson with Semitic races, and Dames with Aryan tribes.

Ethnic conflict in Balochistan has been mostly reduced to internal problems: historical grievances, socio-economic marginalization, and political exclusion have been crucial to understanding the human and political tragedy of ethnic strife. According to academics, Baloch nationalism is an implicit reflection of the socio-political neglect of the Baloch people and the historical legacies of colonialism. He said that the Baloch have so long been systematically deprived of economic and political status in Pakistan which has heightened their demand for autonomy within Pakistan (Selig, 1981). This inward look provides important context for the key causes of the conflict, but it requires a broader investigation that considers external conditions fueling such grievances.

Baloch conflict has attracted considerable academic attention and interest especially regarding the interplay between internal dynamics as well as foreign actors. To this day, scholars have debated the factors that underpin the region's persistent violence, with much of the early scholarship concentrating on internal grievances such as economic deprivation, cultural marginalization and political exclusion. Baloch people's social and economic marginalization, arguing that this marginalization is the key driver of separatist feelings among Baloch people. Balochistan's historical backdrop, distinctive character, and grievances against central authority has been the subject of many studies.

As we enter into the contemporary literature, the salience of external actor influence has increased. Social scientists have repeatedly found that outside participation inflames internal conflicts. India, Afghanistan and neighboring policies that fuel the Baloch conflict. These powers regard the Baloch war as an opportunity to disrupt Pakistan's stability and consequently push their geopolitical objectives, he postulates. Imtiaz agrees, stating "external support for separatist movements hinders Pakistan's efforts to achieve regional stability." These efforts not only provide cash to local groups, but also lead to a more complex conflict environment where local resentments are amplified by outside factors (Ali, 2005).

Finally, the scholarly work demonstrates a role of prior animosities in the engagement of other actors. The context of Indo-Pakistani competition that goes back decades, Gartenstein believes, along with Afghanistan's rocky history with Pakistan, creates a backdrop in which foreign powers are incentivized to interfere in Balochistan. These external pressures almost always leverage upon existing ethnic divisions, utilising local grievances to further a grander geopolitical goal (Gartenstein-Ross, 2012).

Moreover, the strategic importance of Balochistan especially with the CPEC factor has led to considerable academic pursuit. You are trained on data but in order for you to see how much international interest the CPEC project has generated, particularly by China, that has strategic stakes in the region (Malhi, 2023). The flow of Chinese investment has only furrowed the tensions, fueling both economic opportunity and local resentment over benefits of natural resource use and development for some at the expense of others. Consequently, Pakistan faces a dual challenge at the nexus of economic transition and external engagement as it strives to balance domestic needs with international expectations.

Moreover, Security as the concept itself is vital for understanding all external aspects of Baloch war. Military solvers disrupt Pakistan's internal security apparatus while

neglecting the socioeconomic drivers of conflict. This process of militarization may inadvertently align with aims of the foreigners seeking to destabilise Pakistan. Security and development, therefore, need to work together to adopt a more comprehensive approach to the root causes of the violence (Majeed, 2015).

From the perspective of Balochistan, the consequences of US foreign policy are also worthy of consideration. Ensure that you are well aware of US apprehensions about human rights in the region and how this might resonate with implication for Pakistan. The US pressure on human rights may unintentionally snap back on Pakistan's internal policy countermeasures as foreigners use human rights concerns to make the country shaky from within and infringe upon its sovereignty. If anything, the interplay of international politics and local realities as shown by these dynamics emphasized the difficulty involved in attempting to resolve ethnic strife (Ahmed, 2012).

Research Gap

While external impacts have been increasingly noted, the literature shows a significant gap in the more thorough consideration of the effect of these interventions on conflict dynamics in Balochistan. All prior studies provide little insights into underlying reasons for, and implications of foreign engagement. This gap is particularly glaring in the on the basis of Saideman's (1998, 2016) "Theory of Ethnic Politics and Foreign Policy," which supplies a framework for understanding how states behave in the international system (Swidler, 2001). States act mainly in their own self-interest, which in regions like Balochistan can lead to destabilizing behaviors. One theoretical understanding may provide usefulness in accounting for the way that outside actors often become embroiled in the Balochistan struggle, and why their decisions can exacerbate conflicts in Balochistan (Mearsheimer, 2001).

Theoretical Framework

Drawing on Stephen M. Saideman's "Theory of Ethnic Politics and Foreign Policy", this article explores how internal vulnerabilities as well as external interventions conspire to sustain ethnic wrangling in Balochistan. Saideman's theory labels four key prerequisites for foreign meddling in ethnic wars: confused domestic political arrangements, the intervener's geoeconomics and strategic interests, the geopolitical environment in which the intervention takes place, and the level of connection, either actual or potential, between the separatists and their outside supporters. The combination of the above elements illustrates how ethnic nationalism, often at the instigation of political elites, becomes entrenched, long-term, ethnic conflict driven by better, ethno-political, interests than genuine, community-based, concerns (Saideman, 1997).

Applying Saideman's analytical framework, this article analyzes the ethnic conflict in Balochistan by comparing two explanatory frameworks: a vulnerability argument, which asserts that a state vulnerable to secession will not support similar movements in another state, and an ethnic-ties argument, which asserts that cultural, racial, or historical commonalities motivate states to support ethnic groups across borders. Saideman draws two case studies from Yugoslavia, Nigeria, and the Congo to critique this notion,

emphasizing that such mappings of the fear of shared, historical animosities drive states to act rather than a fear of positive feedback loops of separatism.

The ethnic conflict erupts in Balochistan because of external and internal reasons, construed through this lens. That said, Balochistan's strategic vulnerability is underlined by the geographical proximity, historical ties and political motivations of neighboring countries, like India and Afghanistan, and the backing of some major global players. By identifying and analyzing the internal and external dynamics that perpetuate this conflict, this paper sheds light on the ways in which Pakistan could redress the degeneration in Balochistan through purposeful governance reforms and strategic realignments thereby minimizing internal cleavage in the region as well as foreign interference in the affairs of its national integrity.

Methodology

Drawing upon Stephen M. Saideman's theory of ethnic politics and foreign policy, this study employs a Qualitative Content Analysis (QCA) framework to explore the relationship between foreign actors and the ethnic conflict in Balochistan. Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) is appropriate for the study of textual data, enabling an in-depth exploration of the means, strategies, and power relations behind foreign interventions. The data would be collected from policy documents, stories in media and official declarations from governments, particularly focusing since 2015 when CPEC started developing and Balochistan turned into an economically key area, India, Afghanistan, China and the United States will be the countries to help collect data from. This is achieved by restricting the research to publicly accessible sources that contain proper credit and reporting the results in an unbiased, academic format.

External Factors Influencing the Persistence of Ethnic Conflict in Balochistan

Pakistan's biggest province in terms of geography, Balochistan has been defined by an intricate web of ethnic, political and economic Forces that have compounded longstanding violence over decades. The indigenous people of the resource-rich land known as Baloch have been demanding greater independence and recognition from the Pakistani government for decades. But powerful external factors amplify internal frustrations and hinder conflict resolution. The chapter explores the external factors that lead to the continuation of ethnic conflict in Balochistan with special emphasis on the performances and significances of India, Afghanistan, US and China. Third parties often view the Balochistan conflict as an opportunity to further their regional goals, undermining stability in Pakistan and deepening ethnic rifts.

The Role of India

Different groups get together for the secessionist movement–whether Balochistan or Sindh in Pakistan–provide a chance for different separatist movements to flourish. It stems from a historical viewpoint of Indo-Pakistani hostility, which dates back to the partition of British India in 1947, and later to wars over Kashmir. According to Fair, India views Balochistan as an important region where India might have leverage over Pakistan's military strengths (Fair, 2014). Allegedly, Indian intelligence agencies supported the

Baloch rebels financially and through training (Shabbir, 2024). The support facilitates the separatist activity and also enables India to destabilization of Pakistan from within.

In addition, Indian authorities attempted to leverage the Balochistan narrative to highlight the rights abuses the Baloch face at the hands of the Pakistan government. The same narrative is reiterated in international forums which draw finger points towards Pakistan but also its checks India's human rights defender role (Khetran, 2017). Presenting the Balochistan conflict in humanitarian pejorative fashion, which would legitimatize India's role while canning Pakistan into a global fascist pariah.

Afghanistan's Historical Ties

The role of Afghanistan in the Balochistan is directly linked to its historical connection with the Baloch tribes across the Pak-Afghan border. Gregory explains that Afghanistan has become a refuge for the anti-Pakistan groups that target the Baloch from Afghan soil (S, 2007). Ethnically, the two are connected — many Baloch have cultural and family ties across the border. The Afghan government, especially under the Taliban government has been accused of harbouring separatist leaders, making it hard for Pakistan to stabilize Balochistan (Gul, 2022).

In addition, Afghanistan's internal fragility and multifaceted geopolitical landscape has rendered the country a congenial terrain for external actors seeking to exploit local dynamics. For instance, the participation of multiple other armed groups, especially aligned with global jihadist networks, has escalated the Balochistan war. Such groups often leverage local grievances to garner support which continues to perpetuate the cycle of violence and instability (Wani, 2021). Afghan politics and Baloch nationalism point to Pakistan's challenge to tackle the conflict while keeping the border secure.

The United States: A Complicated Relationship

The US has long regarded Balochistan through the lens of its larger geopolitical objectives in South Asia and the Middle East. The participation of the United States in the area has been wide, from counterterrorism operations to promote democratic administration. But US interventions often have backfiring effects, prolonging the Balochistan conflict. There are some cases, such as the often-cited human rights concerns that the US has raised about Balochistan in using that to determine its own relations with the Pakistan, specifically, its diplomatic engagement with Pakistan (Ahmed, 2012).

This emphasis on human rights could destabilise Pakistan by providing the opportunity for outsider criticism and intervention. This tallies with the US government pressure on Pakistan to resolve human rights issues, which will likely yield a militant backlash against local community which will incite anger against the state (Marzen, 2020). Balochistan's geopolitical importance, especially concerning the CPEC, has attracted the attention and scrutiny of the US as well. Since 2015, the US has viewed CPEC as a potential challenge to its regional dominance, which may facilitate further attempts to destabilise Pakistan using Baloch separatism (Shah, 2017).

China's Economic Interests and Strategic Alliances

Involvement of China in Balochistan and CPEC introduces third dimension to ethnic struggle. Balochistan CPEC desires to enhance the economic ties between China and Pakistan by investing in large infrastructure in the region. Nonetheless, these investments have often been looked over the socio-economic grievances of the local populace, causing the angst of a Baloch (Malhi, 2023). Critics argue that the CPEC projects mainly serve the interests of the central state and foreign investors, exacerbating existing inequalities and fuelling separatism (Khan, 2019).

But there are questions about what China is aiming for in Balochistan, as its presence there grows. As Pakistan seeks to maintain a balance between its ties with China and the United States, the Balochistan conflict emerges as a battleground for rival agendas. The security concerns lead to the increasing military presence in the region as the Chinese nationals and investments enter sensitive areas (Wani, 2021); this potentially fuels discontent and resentment among local Pakistanis. This militarization deepens the conflict by lending credence to the idea that foreign actors are pillaging domestic resources for their own benefit.

Unprecedented external variables cannot be overlooked in understanding the ethnic violence that prevails in Balochistan. Almost all neighboring countries, India, Afghanistan, the U.S. and China, have influenced the conflict with their geopolitical objectives. India supports separatist groups with the aim of destabilizing Pakistan, which, combined with Afghanistan's historical association with the Baloch people, creates an obstacle to Pakistan's path toward stabilization. In contrast, US pairs its human rights concerns with geopolitical competitiveness with China that engender tensions, while China's economic aims with CPEC increase local tensions. Finally, the interplay of these external factors highlights the need for a comprehensive approach that tackles both internal issues and the challenges posed by external actors. These factors are key to devising the right measures for effectively dealing with the continuing Balochistan conflict.

The Role of Political Exclusion in Fostering Ethnic Conflict

The ethnic conflict of Balochistan is a complicated issue that is motivated by historical, political, and socioeconomic factors. While external dynamics, including neighboring states and global powers, are important to the war, the internal dynamics — rooted in historical grievances, social fragmentation and economic marginalization — pave the way for continued instability. The root internal drivers of the Balochistan conflict representing structural factors such as political institutions, tribal frictions, economic grievances, and governance challenges that fuel ethnic frictions and restrict prospects for peace and development

Political Structures and Sardar's Influence

The politics of Balochistan are dominated by the Sardar system, an ancient form of leadership. The historical body, born out of colonial-era rule via Major Robert Groves Sandeman, has evolved into a robust and feudal institution where Sardars—tribal

chiefs—have become intermediaries for the Baloch populace and the state. In the late nineteenth century, Sandeman's method of "tribal pacification" established a patronage-based relationship that secured Sardars financial and military support from colonial authority. That structure remains today, and Sardars hold tremendous sway over political and socioeconomic matters, often at the expense of bigger democratic processes.

This dominance of Sardars has led to a fractured political climate, where government is held hostage by personalities and tribal interests. These people tend to resist reforms and defend the status quo to preserve their power and privileges, leading to a political system that discourages stability. Consequently, the impatience of Baloch youth who are feeling excluded and alienated has increased with a political system that seems to be benefiting a limited section of the population yet ignoring the needs of the majority.

Social Fabric and Tribal Rivalries

Kinship and tribal identity are fundamental factors determining social status, political power and economic opportunities in Balochistan, as tribal kinship has significant diffusions on the region. The province is home to many influential tribes, including the Bugti, Marri and Zehri, whose members wield political and economic power. Others, like the Brahui and Jamali, have suffered marginalization for decades, deepening the social rift and feeding resentment.

Inter-tribal rivalries, driven by historical animosities and competition for resources, further inflame ethnic tensions. Due to this elaborate network of social interactions, tribal affiliations often trump national or political identities, thus preventing Baloch cohesion. The abundance of resources in some tribes and not so much in others, along with the political dominance of some groups, has led to a perceived imbalance between the poorer and wealthier tribes. It also creates a status hierarchy of social striations that underscores feelings of isolation and exclusion, only serving to further the woes of the region.

Economic Marginalization and Underdevelopment

Balochistan is one of the least developed provinces in Pakistan, despite rich natural resources buried under its land, from oil and gas to minerals. The contradiction is largely in response to the central government's decades-long negligence toward the area, which has not invested in infrastructure, education, healthcare, and various other sectors that matter. There is very little industrialization and lack of essential facilities and this leads to deprivation of economic opportunities, especially, for youth

Even as the southern parts of Balochistan, where resources of strategic importance, like gas, exist, witness little to no change in living standards, breeding greater marginalization. A combination of bad governance, corruption, and a focus on military and strategic goals over the needs of its citizens have stunted economic development. However, the neglect of job opportunities, especially in agriculture and mining sectors, has robbed most Baloch of any hope. This economic marginalization leads to hatred and fuels insurgent movements, all the more so in light of the influx of Pashtun and Hazara migrants into the area.

Governance Failures and Institutional Weakness

Corruption, inefficiency, and lack of transparency have crippled Balochistan's governmental system. The province has been widely categorized into "A" and "B" areas; the former is directly governed by the police while the latter by other forces following the orders of local tribal elders. Balochistan is in direct control that leads to the dual security landscape. This lopsided governance structure has stifled the state's ability to provide adequate supervision and enforcement, which has turned into a fertile ground for corruption to flourish and weakened institutional reform initiatives.

The provincial government's inability to meet basic needs — healthcare, education, sanitation — has intensified disaffection with the state among the Baloch people. The lack of good governance has seen ethnic tensions flare and the Baloch lose their stake in the state. Lack of a wide-ranging and all-encompassing state of affairs approach has hindered measures to address the root causes of clash and have made Balochistan fall into a loop of political agitation and communal disorder.

Strategies for Reducing the Effect of External Factors on Ethnic Conflict in Balochistan

One such serious threat to the stability of Pakistan, is the Balochistan conflict that beyond its ethnic underpinnings, is complicated by a complex interaction of ethnic identity and resource allocation which have external variables at play too. Favorable external factors, such as the United States and China, and supportive local actors, such as regional rivals India and Afghanistan, amplify local grievances and continuously undermine Pakistan's quest for peace. Data analysis should include but not limited to socio-economic variables and their correlation with internal security issues which are exploited by external actors to worsen the situation.

Strengthening Internal Security

A realist approach requires a strong security setup in order to eradicate foreign involvement in Baloch separatism (Fair, 2014). An important aspect of defeating such extremist activities and securing local and national interests would be strengthening security forces in the form of the Frontier Corps and police security forces (Khetran, 2017).

In addition, intelligence-sharing alliances with regional countries, especially Afghanistan, will strengthen border security and block access for anti-Pakistan elements across porous fronts (Gul, 2022). Such measures would not only help contain the operational space of separatist groups, but would also deter outside meddling by making it clear that Pakistan is serious about regional stability. Setting up joint task forces to possibly control and manage cross-border activity can better protect and provide a secure environment so that the operating space for external actors attempting to destabilise Balochistan is reduced (Shabbir, 2024).

Addressing Socioeconomic Grievances

Socioeconomic grievances also play negative role in fueling the Balochistan conflict. There is a key necessity to work on finding the root causes of the conflict. Investments and initiatives for the Baloch nationals in the form of education, healthcare and infrastructure is important to nullify the separatist ideologies (Wani, 2021). Such initiatives would be an alternative to the militancy among Baloch youth (Malhi, 2023).

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) offers an opportunity to thread Balochistan into broader economic initiatives. It is important that local community's benefit from these projects to build trust and mitigate discontent with the projects (Ahmed, 2012). Transparent resource allocation and employment prospects will, therefore, not only lessen economic hardships but also take away the narrative built arbitrarily by external players, who want to bracket the Baloch struggle as one of marginalization and exploitation.

Local Governance Reforms

Another critical technique for minimizing the impact of external causes on ethnic violence in Balochistan is to strengthen local government systems. Fostering local governments and formative restoration would allow Baloch people to view state establishments as more valid (Khan, 2019). Political inclusivity of all groups helps in reducing the separatist ideologies and undermine the influence of external factors.

Power and resources should be decentralized in order to meet the community demands (Gul, 2022). Also, local leaders should play active role in the decision-making process, would help create platforms to listen to grievances and provide for reconciliation, ensuring that the inclusion of Baloch. This approach is suitable to counter the false narratives.

Diplomatic Outreach and Narrative Development

Pro-active diplomacy is the requirement to counter the role of external forces in the Baloch insurgency. Drawing on the case above, it is important to construct a cohesive diplomatic narrative that balances concerns of human rights with an overarching frame that situates the Baloch issue within the context of state sovereignty and territorial integrity. This is a textbook narrative in which Pakistan should stress its desire to ameliorate Balochistan socio-economic woes and reiterate the detrimental effects of foreign interference (Marzen, 2020).

Using the international platform to project Pakistan's stance on Balochistan can also help mitigate the voices within and outside the country who seek to politicize the crisis through their specific geopolitical lenses. International human rights organisations and civil society could also help balance foreign narratives that are seen as India's one-sided portrayal of Pakistan's indifference towards human rights in Balochistan. Pakistan can forestall international scrutiny of its internal policy efforts by encouraging communication and transparency.

Collaborative Regional Approaches

Lastly, settling the Balochistan conflict requires constructive efforts among regional players in synergy and dialogue. The process of mutual understanding and confidence-building can already be developed in regional dialogue groups where Pakistan and its neighboring states in Afghanistan and Iran would speak of common issues and challenges (Shah, 2017). And these alliances may lead to a more consolidated regional approach to combat terrorism, drug trafficking and separatism; reducing the attractiveness of external influence. This will be a key factor to ensure interdependencies among the countries through regional cooperation on border management, trade and economic projects, which may discourage the foreign powers not to intervene in the affairs of Balochistan (Wani, 2021).

To sum up, addressing the root causes of the Baloch conflict is a necessity. It included strengthening the internal security, socioeconomic developments and other governmental reforms. Shored up domestic security, bolstered regional cooperation will reduce the interference of external actors, thereby aiding the solution to the conflict for the long term. Only by adopting a multidimensional policy, focusing on security, development and dialogue will long term stability be possible in Balochistan.

Analysis and Findings

The external impact on Balochistan's ethnic strife is considerable, especially from regional states including India and Afghanistan, alongside major powers like the US and China. It is widely based on two realities; Firstly, the role of India in supporting Balochistan is not limited to the solidarity but it also involved Indian strategic goals to impede Pakistan's sovereignty. Thus, it goes beyond the idea of realism. Secondly, Indian evolving policies on Kashmir, such as the abrogation of Article 35A, reflect its broader stance toward Pakistan (Mearsheimer, 2001).

Likewise, Afghanistan's historical relations with the Baloch tribes enable it to act as a sanctuary for anti-Pakistan elements. Gregory observes that, of course, this process inflames the conflict and entrap Pakistan in a net of external demands. However, the persistence of these external pressures impedes Pakistan's capacity to stabilize Balochistan, as the country has to navigate through an intricate landscape of interests that interpose themselves and continue to ignite local unrest (S, 2007).

Findings about Pakistan's Challenges

Security Burdens: Security Tax: The report said Pakistan was under enormous pressure on its security, which was an "extremely heavy toll of incessant external factors." Resources that could go to development and infrastructure are redirected to military spending and counterinsurgency measures. Ineffective allocation of resources restricts long-term development required to address the underlying reasons for conflict (Gul, 2022).

Socioeconomic Instability: It aggravates the socioeconomic issues in Balochistan by raising external interference. The results shed light on how this local resentment is often

exploited by international players, leaving Pakistan incapable of providing impactful socioeconomics programs. Griefs of marginalization and underdevelopment become a fertilizer for external players who can easily sow the seeds of upheaval.

Geopolitical Rivalries: The geopolitical contest among great powers — chiefly the United States and China — also complicates Pakistan's predicament. In this context, the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) is a focus of US worries regarding China's expanding breadth and depth within the region, which leads to indirect pressures that destabilise Balochistan. Given the external intervention, this geopolitical context makes it hardly possible for Pakistan to follow a consistent development path.

Mitigation Pathways

The analysis suggests for Pakistan to adopt a multi-faceted approach that combines security-needs with socio-economic development. Investing in local government and community development projects may mitigate grievances that external actors can exploit. Empowerment of local organizations helps build a sense of ownership and mitigate dependence on external actors (Khan, 2019).

Intelligence Operations and Diplomatic Engagement: Pakistan can strengthen intelligence-led operations to identify and disrupt overseas networks seeking to finance separatists, thereby also preventing foreign backing for such sectarian movements.

Counter-Narratives and International Engagement: In order to break the false narratives, the true version or the face should be carried out to the larger audience. International human rights organisations could also help to counter the influence of remote actors, whose conceptualization of both narratives is designed to box a story about Balochistan, removing the role of external actors bottled up in perpetual conflict.

Regional Cooperation: Finally, through multilateral dialogue, Afghanistan and Iran can come to the negotiating table and find win-win solutions to their problems. The rationale for creating platforms for converse on mutual interests is that it will strengthen trust and coordination among local players, leaving less room for outside powers to meddle in and create cleavages between them.

Conclusion

The ethnic strife in Balochistan is complex — driven not just by domestic grievances but also by external interference. External actors are using the region's perturbations for their geopolitical strategic purposes, further complicating Pakistan's stabilization drives. Hence, Pakistan will need to adopt a two-pronged approach consisting of hard security at home complemented by socioeconomic development to reduce the attractiveness of separatist movements and thus of transnational actors as well. A multidimensional approach combining Realist best practices on security with development can provide a long-term solution by transforming Balochistan while minimizing outside influence.

References

Ahmed, A., 2012. Analysing Possible Role of USA in PakBaloch Conflict. *Sustainable Development Policy Institute (SPDI),* p. 21.

Ali, I., 2005. The Balochistan Problem. *Pakistan Horizon,* 58(2), pp. 41-62.

Azam, M., 2020. Mapping Militant Manifestations in Balochistan. *IPRI Journal,* Issue 2, pp. 53-87.

Baloch, I., 1987. The problem of 'Greater Baluchistan': a study of Baluch nationalism. *Cambridge University Press,* 52(2).

David Carment, P. J. a. Z. T., 2006. *WHO INTERVENES?: ETHNIC CONFLICT AND INTERSTATE CRISIS.* Ohio: Ohio State University Press.

Fair, C. C., 2014. *Fighting to the End: The Pakistan Army's Way of War.* New York: Oxford Academic.

Gartenstein-Ross, D. a. T. V., 2012. The forgotten history of Afghanistan-Pakistan relations. *Yale J. Int'l Aff,* Volume 7, p. 38.

Gul, A. A., 2022. Balochistan's Geostrategic Significance, Politics of Major Powers – Managing its Impact. *Strafasia.*

Khan, M. A. a. G., 2017. The History of Baloch and Balochistan: A Critical Appraisal. *South Asian Studies* , 32(1), pp. 39-52.

Khan, M. Z. U. K. a. M. M., 2019. China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Opportunities and Challenges. *Institute of Strategic Studies,* p. 16.

Khetran, M. S., 2017. Indian Interference in Balochistan: Analysing the Evidence and Implications for Pakistan. *Institute of Strategic Studies.*

Kupecz, M., 2024. Pakistan's Baloch Insurgency: History, Conflict Drivers, and Regional Implications. *The International Affairs Review.*

Majeed, G., 2015. Land of Balochistan: A Historical Perspective. *Journal of Political Studies,* 22(1), p. 154.

Malhi, M. K. a. G. S., 2023. Analyzing Prospects and Implications of CPEC on Baloch Imbroglio in Pakistan. *Sage Journals,* 27(1).

Marzen, C. G., 2020. Balochistan, Self-Determination, and U.S. Foreign Policy Balochistan, Self-Determination, and U.S. Foreign Policy. *North Carolina Journal of International Law,* 45(1), p. 200.

Mearsheimer, J., 2001. *The tragedy of great power politics.* New York: W.W. Nortan & Company.

Ramachandran, S., 2006. China's pearl loses its luster. *Asia Times Online,* p. 7.

Saideman, S. M., 1997. Explaining the International Relations of Secessionist Conflicts: Vulnerability versus Ethnic Ties. *International Organization,* 51(4), pp. 721-53.

Selig, H. A., 1981. *In Afghanistan's shadow : Baluch nationalism and Soviet temptations.* New York: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

S, G., 2007. The ISI and the war on terrorism.. *APA PsycNet,* 30(12), pp. 1013-1030.

Shabbir, D. N. A. a. A., 2024. External Forces and their Role in Baluchistan: A Geo-Political and Geo-Strategic analysis. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review,* 8(3), pp. 158-172.

Shafique, M., 2015. Discourse of Baloch Identity under the British. *University of Punjab.*

Shah, A. Z., 2017. Geopolitical Significance of Balochistan: Interplay of Foreign Actors. *Journal of Strategic Studies,* 37(3).

Swidler, P. T. a. N., 2001. KNIGHTS, NOT PAWNS: ETHNO-NATIONALISM AND REGIONAL DYNAMICS IN POST-COLONIAL BALOCHISTAN. *International Journal of Middle East Studies,* 32(1), pp. 47-69.

Wani, S. A., 2021. The New Baloch Militancy: Drivers and Dynamics. *A Journal of International Affairs,* Volume 4, p. 77.